

## PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Below Average	Excellent	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Good	Yes

## PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School

Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

3389

443

443

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

## **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AN	DIFAREINI	_	
	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	23	30	30
Percent satisfied with learning environment	54.5%	89.3%	80.0%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	56.5%	83.3%	70.0%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	60.9%	90.0%	83.3%

#### PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP olo Proficient and State Objective July of Testing olo Belom Baeic olo Proficient olo Advanced Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts All students 96.1 129 33.0 45.7 17.0 4.3 21.3 17.6 Gender Male 65 98.5 23.8 50.0 23.8 2.4 26.2 17.6 Female 93.8 39.2 43.1 11.8 5.9 17.6 17.6 64 Racial/Ethnic Group 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 White 2 African-American 96.0 32.2 46.7 16.7 21.1 17.6 126 4.4 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Hispanic 17.6 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1 American Indian/Alaskan 17.6 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 46.7 22.2 17.6 107 31.1 17.8 4.4 Disabled 22 77.3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Migrant Status Migrant 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Non-migrant 129 96.1 33.3 45.2 17.2 4.3 21.5 17.6 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Non-limited English proficient 96.1 32.6 45.7 17.4 4.3 21.7 17.6 129 Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 95.7 31.9 45.8 18.1 4.2 22.2 17.6 116 Full-pay meals 13 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Mathematics All students 129 95.3 38.9 44.2 14.7 2.1 16.8 15.5 Gender Male 95.4 34.1 46.3 17.1 2.4 65 19.5 15.5 Female 95.3 41.2 43.1 13.7 2.0 15.7 15.5 64 Racial/Ethnic Group White 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 2 African-American 126 95.2 38.2 44.9 14.6 22 16.9 15.5 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Hispanic 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Disability Status Not disabled 98.1 36.3 46.2 15.4 2.2 15.5 107 17.6 Disabled N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 22 81.8 N/A Migrant Status N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Migrant N/A 0.0 N/A Non-migrant 129 95.3 38.0 44.6 15.2 2.2 17.4 15.5 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5

## **Abbreviations for Missing Data**

37.4

36.6

N/A

129

116

13

95.3

96.6

84.6

Non-limited English proficient

Socio-Economic Status
Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

45.1

43.7

N/A

15.4

18.3

N/A

2.2

1.4

N/A

17.6

19.7

N/A

15.5

15.5

15.5

## PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enroll	16, 16g/	reste al Be	ON	Basic	Profite 0/0	Advar Profit
		Emo	ign des	Restr ologi		olo	0/0	0/0/
				English	n/Langua	ge Arts	/	
	Grade 3	39	N/A	38.2	58.8	2.9	N/A	2.9
	Grade 4	42	N/A	40.0	55.0	5.0	N/A	5.0
8	Grade 5	40	N/A	46.2	38.5	15.4	N/A	15.4
2002	Grade 6	35	N/A	30.3	45.5	12.1	12.1	24.2
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	30	100.0	24.1	37.9	27.6	10.3	37.9
	Grade 4	33	93.9	50.0	45.5	4.5	N/A	4.5
8	Grade 5	36	100.0	33.3	61.9	4.8	N/A	4.8
2003	Grade 6	30	90.0	27.3	40.9	27.3	4.5	31.8
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				Mi	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	39	N/A	75.8	24.2	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	42	N/A	64.1	35.9	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	40	N/A	41.0	35.9	17.9	5.1	23.1
2	Grade 6	35	N/A	39.4	51.5	9.1	N/A	9.1
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	30	100.0	34.5	37.9	27.6	N/A	27.6
	Grade 4	33	100.0	41.7	50.0	8.3	N/A	8.3
2003	Grade 5	36	94.4	40.0	50.0	10.0	N/A	10.0
20	Grade 6	30	86.7	40.9	40.9	9.1	9.1	18.2
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

(	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 246)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	N/A	N/A	2.8%	2.4%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	96.5%	Down from 100.0%	95.5%	95.9%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	12.4%	Down from 12.7%	5.6%	13.2%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2.4%	Down from 4.9%	8.1%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	0.8%	No change	2.6%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	Down from 0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 19)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	42.1%	Down from 57.1%	46.9%	50.0%
	63.2%	Down from 71.4%	79.4%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	r 66.0%	Down from 79.4%	80.8%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.0%	Down from 97.1%	95.0%	95.3%
	\$39,988	Down 1.0%	\$38,455	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	20.7 days	Up from 13.6 days	13.5 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	17.2 to 1	Down from 17.9 to 1	17.0 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	87.1%	Down from 95.8%	88.5%	89.7%
	\$6,614	Up 21.0%	\$6,643	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	62.5%	Down from 65.6%	64.6%	66.6%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	No change	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	yes	N/A	yes	yes
	•		,	•

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

# Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insuff	ient Sample
--	-------------

## REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The 2002-2003 school year at Kelly Miller Elementary was filled with a lot of enthusiasm and excitement. The school celebrated many achievements at both the district and state level. Our school was the recipient of the Palmetto Gold Award in recognition of school improvement. Four students attended the USC Region II Science Fair, of which one was awarded third place. The District's Spelling Bee winner was a sixth-grade student. Students were invited to showcase their talents at two district-wide Performing Arts Productions.

Our instructional environment entailed best practices in teaching, student learning, and assessment. These practices will help successfully prepare our students for the future. We have devoted time for creating programs and implementing strategies aimed at addressing the needs of individual students.

We believe our year of academic excellence is the result of a combination of fundamental elements: implementation of effective classroom practices, providing creative teaching strategies, and using varied assessments to effectively impact student learning. Student achievement can be attributed to students' hard work and the professionalism of the staff.

The school is fortunate to be located in a community that provides a strong support system. We value parent participation, a key ingredient to the success of our school. Teachers, students and parents work closely together in an atmosphere of mutual respect and cooperation. Our students have supportive, caring parents who value education, and dedicated, committed teachers who continuously provide high quality instruction for all students.

We are committed to continual improvement of our programs and services.

Raye O'Neal-Boyd, Principal

## DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.